IDEA Student Opinion Surveys SUMMARY

Prepared for the University Chairs Council Student Opinion Survey Work Group

Distributed to this work group on 28 Oct 2011

IDEA = Individual Development and Education Assessment

The IDEA Center's Mission is to serve colleges and universities committed to improving learning, teaching, and leadership performance. Website: http://www.theideacenter.org/node/5

Please contact us if you have questions or would like additional information.

Phone: Toll-Free: 800-255-2757 [Contact "Sally Garvin"] Office: 785-320-2400 Fax: 785-320-2424

Mail: The IDEA Center, Inc. 211 South Seth Child Road Manhattan, KS 66502-3089

On-line form to submit requests for information: http://www.theideacenter.org/content/contact-us

A Brief History of the IDEA Center

The IDEA Student Ratings System originated at Kansas State University during the 1968-69 school year. Its emphasis was unique, as it provided constructive feedback aimed at improving the quality of instruction and student learning. During the next seven years, the system was perfected and refined to assist in the improvement of instruction at Kansas State University. With help from the W. K. Kellogg Foundation, The IDEA Center was established in 1975 and the IDEA Student Ratings system was made available to other colleges and universities. Since that time, other systems have been added to assist institutions in the assessment and improvement of administrator performance. IDEA Feedback for Department Chairs, IDEA Feedback for Deans, and IDEA Feedback for Administrators serve to provide information to assist higher education leaders to become more effective.

i o nue information lo assist nagret caucation leaders lo become more effective.

<u>A</u> "free" pilot program (Website includes supporting materials for this "free" pilot program including an FAQ)

- Allows campuses to gain firsthand experience with the system to assess its fit with the campus culture and needs
- In order to facilitate faculty and administrator trust, we recommend piloting the IDEA instrument prior to adopting its use
- Survey 25 classes free using paper forms or IDEA Online. An additional 275 classes can be included for a reduced fee.
 - Survey materials include
 - i. 25 Faculty Information Forms, 25 Directions to Faculty, 500 forms
 - ii. Student Survey Forms (paper or online) needed to survey 25 classes (Diagnostic, Short, or a combination)
 - Processed Materials include
 - i. 2 copies of each class report
 - ii. A CD with a PDF copy of each class report
 - iii. A Group Summary Report including results from all classes in the pilot
 - iv. An Aggregate Data File in an Excel spreadsheet format

Delivery Method: Paper or On-line

- On-line implementation would be on the IDEA server but data entry and monitoring are done by someone at the institution
- Email-based with customizable reminders OR Url delivery System secured with an identifier (unique to the student)

Forms Available: Short Form (18 questions), Diagnostic Form (47 questions), Faculty Information Form (FIF).

- Up to twenty additional questions (Lickert or open-ended) can be added at institutional, department, individual at no expense
- Report summary: The "fee" includes two paper copies and a pdf file of the Diagnostic Form or Short Form summary
- Training is "free" if taken via webinar, but at least \$750 if you want the IDEA person physically present on campus.

Features

- Primarily focused on faculty development in the area of teaching; making instruction better
- IDEA Center offers support for
 - Best Practices for Getting Student Support
 - Best Practices for Getting Faculty Support
 - Documented Reliability and Validity (documented in a series of technical reports)
 - National Comparative Data: 3,000,000 forms annually (200,000 classes, 400 institutions) providing comparison information at the instructor, department, and institutional level.
 - o Diagnostic Reports for Faculty to Identify Strengths and Guide Improvement Efforts
 - Adjusting survey data for up to five factors: student motivation, student work habits, class size, student effort not attributable to the instructor, course difficulty not attributable to the instructor
 - o Training: Creating Local Campus Experts, Understanding Reports, Faculty, Department Chairs
 - Papers on each "Learning Outcome" and "Teaching Technique" on IDEA website as POD-IDEA Papers
 - POD = Professional and Organizational Development Network [http://www.podnetwork.org/] (faculty/CETL leaders)
 - IDEA Papers also develop various aspects of teaching, learning, and assessment.

<u>Cost</u>: There is a graduated fee structure on enrollment (14 to 30 cents per student enrolled) and use (\$4.50-\$7.00 per class)

- Fee structure is based on the number of students enrolled (having the opportunity to complete the survey) NOT the number that participate and actually complete the survey (In other words, if for a class of 25, 17 complete the survey, charged for 25 surveys)
- Using 300 faculty at 4 courses each and 25 per class as average size

(1200 classes) * (\$7 processing of reports/class) + (30000 students)* (\$0.14 per student) = \$8400 + \$4200 = \$12,600 per semester

• Ordinarily fees are semester by semester, although it is possible to explore a "contract" arrangement with The IDEA Center

IDEA/POD PAPERS

POD = Professional and Organizational Development Network [http://www.podnetwork.org/] (faculty/CETL leaders)

reating retri	piques used in IDEA Student Opinion Surveys
IDEA Item 1	niques used in IDEA Student Opinion Surveys
	"Found ways to help students answer their own questions," Nancy McClure
	"Scheduled course work (class activities, tests, projects) in ways which encouraged students to stay up-to-date in their work," Michael Theall
	"Demonstrated the importance and significance of the subject matter," Nancy McClure
	"Formed 'teams' or 'discussion groups' to facilitate learning," Todd Zakrajsek
IDEA Item 6	"Made it clear how each topic fit into the course," Michael Theall
IDEA Item 7	"Explained the reasons for criticisms of students' academic performance," Barbara E. Walvoord
	"Stimulated students to intellectual effort beyond that required by most courses," Nancy McClure
	Encouraged students to use multiple resources (e.g. data banks, library holdings, outside experts) to improve understanding," Leora Baron
IDEA Item 10	
IDEA Item 11	
IDEA Item 12	
IDEA Item 13	
	"Inspired students to set and achieve goals which really challenged them," Todd Zakrajsek
IDEA Item 16	"Asked students to share ideas and experiences with others whose backgrounds and viewpoints differ from their own," Jeff King
IDEA Item 17	"Provided timely and frequent feedback on tests, reports, projects, etc. to help students improve," Cynthia Desrochers
IDEA Item 18	
IDEA Item 19	"Gave projects, tests, or assignments that required original or creative thinking," Cynthia Desrochers
Learning Object	tives used in IDEA Student Opinion Surveyshttp://www.theideacenter.org/PODNotesLearning
IDEA Objective 1	"Gaining factual knowledge (terminology, classifications, methods, trends)," Michael Theall
IDEA Objective 2	"Learning fundamental principles, generalizations, or theories." Walt Wager and Marilla Svinicki
IDEA Objective 3	: "Learning to Apply Course Material (to improve thinking, problem solving, and decisions)," Todd Zakrajsek and Tamara Rosier
IDEA Objective 4:	"Developing specific skills, competencies, and points of view needed by professionals in the field most closely related to this course," Nancy McClure
IDEA Objective 5:	
IDEA Objective 6. I	
	"Developing skill in expressing myself orally or in writing," Frances Johnson
IDEA Objective 9:	"Learning how to find and use resources for answering questions or solving problems," Gail MacKay, Barbara Millis, and Rebecca Brent
IDEA Objective 11:	
IDEA Objective 12:	"Acquiring an interest in learning more by asking questions and seeking answers," Virginia S. Lee, Patricia Shaw, and Leone Snyder
	PERS for Faculty Development http://www.theideacenter.org/category/helpful-resources/knowledge-base/idea-papers
 IDEA Paper N 	o. 49
 IDEA Paper N IDEA Paper N IDEA Paper N 	o. 49
 IDEA Paper N 	o. 49
IDEA Paper N	o. 49
IDEA Paper N	o. 49
IDEA Paper N	o. 49
IDEA Paper N College Cours IDEA Paper N	o. 49
IDEA Paper N College Cours IDEA Paper N IDEA Paper N IDEA Paper N IDEA Paper N	o. 49
IDEA Paper N College Cours IDEA Paper N	o. 49
IDEA Paper N College Course IDEA Paper N	o. 49
 IDEA Paper N 	o. 49
 IDEA Paper N 	b. 49
 IDEA Paper N 	o. 49
 IDEA Paper N 	o. 49. Effective Classroom Discussions, Cashin o. 48. Strategies to Improve Student Writing, Smit o. 47. Promoting Deep Learning, Millis o. 46. Effective Lecturing, Cashin o. 45. Assessing Your Program-Level Assessment Plan, Hatfield o. 44. The Learning Portfolio: A Powerful Idea for Significant Learning, Zubizarreta o. 43. The Technology Literate Professoriate: Are We There Yet?, Madigan: Online Resources o. 41. Student Goal Orientation, Motivation, and Learning, Svinicki o. 42. Integrated Course Design, Fink- For additional information on this topic see Dee Fink's book "Creating Significant Learning Experiences: An Integrated Approach to Designing es" available from Jossey-Bass at www.wiley.com or www.amazon.com Student Goal Orientation, Motivation, and Learning, Svinicki 0. 41. Getting Students to Read: Fourteen Tips, Hobson Student Goal Orientation and Learning, Fleming 0. 41. Getting Students to Read: Fourteen Tips, Hobson Getting Students to Read: Fourteen Tips, Hobson 0. 39. Establishing Rapport Personal Interaction and Learning, Stills Getting Thinking Skills, Lynch and Wolcott 0. 36. Getting Teaching Teaching Teaching Teaching Teaching Student Ratings, Hoyt and Pallett Getting The Courting Cashin 0. 34. Developing an Effectiv
 IDEA Paper N 	o. 49
 IDEA Paper N 	o. 49. Effective Classroom Discussions, Cashin o. 48. Strategies to Improve Student Writing, Smit o. 47. Promoting Deep Learning, Millis o. 46. Effective Lecturing, Cashin o. 45. Assessing Your Program-Level Assessment Plan, Hatfield o. 44. The Learning Portfolio: A Powerful Idea for Significant Learning, Zubizarreta o. 43. The Technology Literate Professoriate: Are We There Yet?, Madigan: Online Resources o. 44. The Technology Literate Professoriate: Are We There Yet?, Madigan: Online Resources o. 43. The Technology Literate Professoriate: Are We There Yet?, Madigan: Online Resources o. 44. Student Goal Orientation, Motivation, and Learning, Svinicki o. 41. Getting Students to Read: Fourteen Tips, Hobson o. 42. Student Goal Orientation, Motivation, and Learning, Fleming o. 43. Student Soaport Personal Interaction and Learning, Fleming o. 36. Students Develop Critical Thinking Skills, Lynch and Wolcott o. 37. Helping Your Students Develop Critical Thinking Skills, Lynch and Wolcott o. 34. Student Ratings of Teaching It Learning, Statheim-Smith o. 34. Student Ratings of Teaching It Learning, Statheim-Smith o. 34. Student Ratings of Teaching It Learni
 IDEA Paper N 	o. 49
 IDEA Paper N 	o. 49. Effective Classroom Discussions, Cashin o. 48. Strategies to Improve Student Writing, Smith o. 47. Promoting Deep Learning, Millis o. 46. The Learning Portolic: A Powerful Idea for Significant Learning, Zubizarreta o. 43. The Learning Portolic: A Powerful Idea for Significant Learning, Zubizarreta o. 43. The Technology Literate Professoriate: Are We There Yet?, Madigan: Online Resources o. 43. The Technology Literate Professoriate: Are We There Yet?, Madigan: Online Resources o. 43. The Technology Literate Professoriate: Are We There Yet?, Madigan: Online Resources o. 43. The Technology Literate Professoriate: Are We There Yet?, Madigan: Online Resources o. 44. The Dearning Port Personal Interaction and Learning, Svinicki o. 41. Student Goal Orientation, Motivation, and Learning, Flowing o. 41. Getting Students to Read: Fourteen Tips, Hobson o. 43. Establishing Rapport Personal Interaction and Learning, Flowing o. 44. Getting Students to Read: Fourteen Tips, Hobson o. 37. Establishing Rapport Personal Interaction and Learning, Cashin o. 34. Focusing On Active, Meaningful Learning, Stulheim-Smith o. 34. Focusing On Active, Meaningful Learning, Stalheim-Smith <td< td=""></td<>
 IDEA Paper N 	o. 49. Effective Classroom Discussions, Cashin 0. 48. Strategies to Improve Student Writing, Smit 0. 47. Promoting Deep Learning, Mills 0. 46. The Assessing Your Program-Level Assessment Plan, Hatfield 0. 45. Assessing Your Program-Level Assessment Plan, Hatfield 0. 43. The Learning Portolio: A Powerful Idea for Significant Learning, Zubizarreta 0. 43. The Technology Literate Professoriate: Are We There Yet?, Madigan: Online Resources 0. 41. Student Goal Orientation, Motivation, and Learning, Sivinick 0. 41. Student Goal Orientation, Motivation, and Learning, Flering 0. 43. Student Goal Orientation, Motivation, and Learning, Flering 0. 49. Student Social Interaction and Learning, Flering 0. 49. Student Social Interaction and Learning, Flering 0. 41. Student Social Interaction and Learning, Flering 0. 43. Getting Students to Read: Fourteen Tips, Hobson 0. 49. Student Social Interaction and Learning, Stills, Lynch and Wolcott 0. 41. Student Ratings, Hoy and Pallett 0. 43. Student Ratings, Hoy and Pallett 0. 34. Developing an Effective Research Revisited, Cashin 0. 35. Student Ratings, Hoy and Pallett
 IDEA Paper N 	o. 49. Effective Classroom Discussions, Cashin 0. 48. Strategies to Improve Student Writing, Smit 0. 47. Promoting Deep Learning, Mills 0. 46. Effective Lecturing, Cashin 0. 45. Assessing Your Program-Level Assessment Plan, Hatfield 0. 44. The Technology Literate Professoriate: Are We There Yet?, Madigan: Online Resources 0. 43. The Technology Literate Professoriate: Are We There Yet?, Madigan: Online Resources 0. 41. The Technology Literate Professoriate: Are We There Yet?, Madigan: Online Resources 0. 41. The Technology Literate Professoriate: Are We There Yet?, Madigan: Online Resources 0. 41. Getting Students to Read: Fourteen Tips, Hobson 0. 41. Getting Students to Read: Fourteen Tips, Hobson 0. 43. Enhancing Learning and More! Through Cooperative Learning, Mills 0. 49. Enhancing Learning On Active, Meaningful Learning, Stalineims, Mills 0. 38. Enhancing Learning On Active, Meaningful Learning, Mills 0. 34. Focusing On Active, Meaningful Learning, Stalineims-Stalin
 IDEA Paper N 	o. 49
 IDEA Paper N 	O. 49
 IDEA Paper N 	O. 49. Effective Classroom Discussions, Cashin O. 48. Strategies to Improve Student Writing, Smit Ore and Student Strategies to Improve Student Writing, Smit Ore and Student Strategies to Improve Student Writing, Smit Ore and Student Students Predicts Students Predicts Assessing Your Program-Level Assessment Plan. Hatfield Add Assessing Your Program-Level Assessment Plan. Hatfield Add Assessing Your Program-Level Assessment Plan. Hatfield Assessing Your Plan. Hatfield Assessing Your Plan. Assessing Your Plan. Hatfield Assessing Your Plan. Assessing Your Plan. Hatfield Assessing Your Plan. Hatfield
 IDEA Paper N 	O. 49. Effective Classroom Discussions, Cashin O. 48. Strategies to Improve Student Writing, Smit O. 47. Strategies to Improve Student Writing. Affective Learning Portfolic: A Powerful Idea for Significant Learning, Zubizaretta Assessing Your Program-Level Assessment Plan. Hatfield Adds. The Technology Literate Professoriate: Are Wor There Yet?, Madigan: Online Pesources available from Jossey-Bass at www.wiley.com or www.amzon.com Student Goal Orientation, Motivation, and Learning, Svinick 40. Student Goal Orientation, Motivation, and Learning, Heining 41. Students Develop Critical Tinixing Skills, Lynch and Wolcott 41. Students Develop Critical Tinixing Skills, Lynch and Wolcott 41. Students Develop Critical Tinixing Skills, Lynch and Wolcott 42. Student State State 42. Student State State 43. Student Ratings of Teaching: The Research Revisited, Cashin 43. Student Ratings of Teaching: The Research Revisited, Cashin 43. Student Ratings of Teaching Students, State, Cashin 44. Stategies to Improve Student Writing. 45. Student Reading, Adult Students, Polson 44. Stategies to Improve Student Writing. 45. Student Ratings of Teaching, State, Cashin 45. Student Ratings of Teaching, State, Cashin 45. Student Reading, Maleki and Heerman 45. Student Reading, State, Stategies to Improve Student Writing. 45. Student Reading of Teaching: Reading, Maleki and Cleage 45. Student Ratings of
 IDEA Paper N 	O. 49. Effective Classroom Discussions, Cashin O. 48. Strategies to Improve Student Writing, Smit Ore and Student Strategies to Improve Student Writing, Smit Ore and Student Strategies to Improve Student Writing, Smit Ore and Student Students Predicts Students Predicts Assessing Your Program-Level Assessment Plan. Hatfield Add Assessing Your Program-Level Assessment Plan. Hatfield Add Assessing Your Program-Level Assessment Plan. Hatfield Assessing Your Plan. Hatfield Assessing Your Plan. Assessing Your Plan. Hatfield Assessing Your Plan. Assessing Your Plan. Hatfield Assessing Your Plan. Hatfield
 IDEA Paper N 	A 9 A
 IDEA Paper N 	Order O
 IDEA Paper N 	A 9 Effective Classroom Discussions, Cashin At At Strategies to Improve Student Whiting, Smit Af Af
 IDEA Paper N 	0. 49. Effective Classroom Discussions, Cashin 0. 48. Strategies to Improve Student Writing, Smit 0. 47. Promoting Deep Learning, Milis 0. 45. Promoting Deep Learning, Milis 0. 44. The Learning Portfolio. A Powerful Idea to Significant Learning, Subiazreta 0. 43. The Technology Ulerate Professoriate: Are We There Yet?. Madgan: Online Resources 0. 44. The Technology Ulerate Professoriate: Are We There Yet?. Madgan: Online Resources 0. 41. Student Goal Orientation, Motivation, and Learning, Portesonal Interaction and Learning, Studients to Read: Fourteen Tips, Hoston 0. 41. Student Goal Orientation, Motivation, and Learning, Studients Deed, Fourteen Tips, Hoston 0. 43. Enhancing Learning-and Morel - Through Cooperative Learning, Milis 0. 43. Periodia The Answering and Asking Questions, Cashin 0. 43. Periodia Ratings of Teaching: The Research Revisitient. Smith 0. 43. Periodical Ratings, Moltan Ratings of Teaching: The Research Revisitient. Smith 0. 43. Periodical Ratings, Oricle Chearter, Scashin 0. 43. Periodical Ratings, Moltan Ratings, Oricle Reading, Maleik and Heerman 0. 43. Periodical Ratings, Moltan Ratings, Oricle Reading, Maleik and Heerman 0. 42. Periodical Ratings, Maleik and Heerman
 IDEA Paper N IDEA Paper N<	A 9 Effective Classroom Discussions, Cashin At At Strategies to Improve Student Whiting, Smit Af Af

Getting Faculty Support - Best Practices (Copied from the IDEA Website)

Pilot

Beginning with a pilot (either with a smaller group of faculty or all faculty surveying just one class) builds some trust and confidence in the process, as faculty can have some experience with the process without the results being used for administrative decisions.

Flexibility

While faculty members are required to survey one major course and one general education course, they may choose which specific courses are surveyed.

Faculty Control

Faculty members are responsible for providing a holistic summary of IDEA results for their review materials. The evaluation process does not focus on the numbers but on the faculty member's interpretation of the results.

Faculty Decision

Three different instruments were piloted and the faculty voted to use IDEA campus wide. Faculty also determined the purpose and scope of its use.

Provide Guidance

New faculty members are evaluated in every course prior to applying for tenure. In the first semester, the Dean of Faculty Growth and Assessment will meet with new faculty to discuss the process of course evaluation and the IDEA form.

Recognize Strong Performance

A memorandum of commendation is sent from the Dean to all faculty members showing student learning (Progress on Relevant Objectives) at the 90th percentile or higher.

Communicate Results of Institutional Performance

Within a week after the forms are returned to instructors, the Dean provides an interpretation of the Institutional Group Summary Report, focusing on institutional norms for learning objectives, types of instruction emphasized, and observations on the aggregated student reports, identifying any concerns suggested by the data.

Provide Faculty Training Opportunities

The resident IDEA expert provides an IDEA overview at department meetings or at new faculty orientation meetings. Individual sessions are available as requested.

Provide Administrator Training

This facilitates buy-in as faculty members have confidence that those making decisions are doing so in an informed manner.

Ongoing Communication and Feedback

The Institutional Research director continually communicates with faculty and administrators about processes, policies, individual problems or questions and invites others to share information with their colleagues as appropriate. This improves internal processes and policies related to the use of IDEA. Some campuses use workshops, meetings, campus publications and listserves to communicate about proper administration of IDEA, internal deadlines, and role of the coordinating office.

Resident Expert

Having an individual or group of individuals who are available as "experts" in IDEA and faculty evaluation provide assistance to interpreting scores and directing individuals to additional sources of information related to teaching improvement.

Focus on Teaching Improvement

IDEA is used primarily to guide improvement efforts. Faculty members can include results in the review process at their own discretion.

Consistency

Use IDEA results consistently and as intended through documented policies.

Getting Student Support - Best Practices (Copied from the IDEA Website)

Communication

Use faculty representatives or IDEA Coordinators to visit student senate meetings, ask student leaders to visit school organizations, and sponsor school newspaper articles or advertisements that communicate to students the importance of the rating process and how their input is used.

Solicit Student Input

Established a themed display in the University Center that communicates the purpose and role of the IDEA coordinating office. Our slogan for students is "We count on your opinion." A guest book next to the display allows students to provide feedback.

Course Module

A section is included in the College Life Skills course that introduces first-time students to the IDEA system, its use, and the importance of thoughtful student responses.

Instructions to Students

Prior to the administration of the forms, review the importance of the process and demonstrate the importance of responding thoughtfully. Discuss a specific objective that was not relevant to the course (i.e., of minor or no importance) and instruct students that if they rate this item "5" because they "liked" the instructor or the course, they are not completing the survey appropriately. Similarly, point out a relevant ("important" or "essential") objective and suggest that if students indicate making no progress on that objective because they "didn't like" the instructor or the course, they are probably not completing the survey appropriately. This process emphasizes to students that the IDEA system is not assessing how much they "like" or "dislike" the instructor or the course, but encourages them to carefully read and discriminate between the items.

Demonstrating Results to Students

In introducing the IDEA process, encourage faculty to show students a sample Diagnostic Form Report so students get a chance to see the wealth of information the ratings provide. When students can see the results, it motivates them to be more engaged in providing the data, and it underscores the anonymity of the data they enter.

IDEA Student Ratings of Instruction Disciplines Provided with Comparisons September 2011 – August 2012

IDEA Department/ Discipline Code Discipline IDEA Department/ Discipline Code Discipline 100 Ag Business & Production 200 Agricultural Sciences 400 Architecture & Related Programs 500 Area, Ethnic & Cultural Studies 800 Marketing Operations/Marketing & Distribution 801 Apparel & Accessories Marketing Operations 900 Communication 904 Journalism & Mass Communications 1000 Communication Technologies 1002 Audiovisual Communication Technologies 1003 Graphic Communications 1100 Computer & Information Sciences 1107 Computer Science 1108 Computer Software & Media Applications 1205 Culinary Arts & Related Services 1300 Education (except Physical Education and Vocational-Technical Education) 1303 Curriculum & Instruction 1310 Special Education 1311 Student Counseling & Personnel Services 1312 General Teacher Education 1313 Teacher Education, Specific Academic & Vocational Programs 1332 Physical Education & Health Safety Education 1400 Engineering 1500 Engineering-Related Technologies 1600 Foreign Languages & Literature 1609 Romance Languages Literatures Services 1900 Human/Family & Consumer Science 1905 Foods & Nutrition Studies 2200 Law 5123 Rehabilitation/Therapeutic Services 2301 English Language & Literature 2304 English Composition (2-year program) 2307 American Literature 2310 Speech & Rhetorical Studies Services 2311 English Technical & Business Writing 2400 Liberal Arts & Sciences 2600 Biological Science/Life Science 2601 Biology, General 2606 Miscellaneous Biological Specializations 2700 Mathematics & Statistics 2701 Mathematics Services 3000 Multi/Interdisciplinary Studies 3100 Park/Recreation/Leisure/Fitness Studies Management Science 3105 Health & Physical Education/Fitness 3801 Philosophy 9901 Developmental Math 3900 Religion/Theological Studies

3902 Bible/Biblical Studies

4000 Physical Sciences 4005 Chemistry 4006 Geological & Related Sciences 4008 Physics 4200 Psychology 4300 Protective Services 4301 Criminal Justice/Corrections 4400 Public Administration & Services 4407 Social Work 4500 Social Science 4502 Anthropology 4506 Economics 4507 Geography 4508 History 4510 Political Science & Government 4511 Sociology 4700 Mechanics & Repairers 5000 Fine & Applied Arts 5004 Design & Applied Arts 5005 Dramatic/Theater Arts & Stagecraft 5006 Film/Video & Photographic Arts 5007 Art (Painting, Drawing, Sculpture) 5009 Music 5100 Health Professions and Related Sciences (except Nursing) 5107 Health & Medical Administrative Services 5108 Health & Medical Assistants 5109 Health & Medical Diagnostic & Treatment

> 5116 Nursing 5120 Pharmacy

5199 Health Professions & Related Sciences

5200 Business Management & Administrative

5201 Business, General 5202 Business Administration & Management 5203 Business - Accounting 5208 Business - Finance 5210 Human Resources Management 5212 Business Information & Data Processing

5213 Business Quantitative Methods &

5214 Business - Marketing

9902 Developmental Reading 9903 Developmental Writing

IDEA Dener No. 40	Effective Cleaserer Discussions, Cashin	
	Effective Classroom Discussions, Cashin Strategies to Improve Student Writing, Smit	
	Promoting Deep Learning, Millis	
	Effective Lecturing, Cashin	
IDEA Paper No. 45	Assessing Your Program-Level Assessment Plan, Hatfield	
IDEA Paper No. 44		
IDEA Paper No. 43		
IDEA Paper No. 42 Integ	rated Course Design, Fink- For additional information on this topic see Dee Fink's book "Creating Significant Learning	
	pproach to Designing College Courses" available from Jossey-Bass at www.wiley.com or www.amazon.com	
	Student Goal Orientation, Motivation, and Learning, Svinicki	
	Getting Students to Read: Fourteen Tips, Hobson	
	Establishing Rapport Personal Interaction and Learning, Fleming	
IDEA Paper No. 38	Enhancing Learning-and More! - Through Cooperative Learning, Millis	
	Helping Your Students Develop Critical Thinking Skills, Lynch and Wolcott	
IDEA Paper No. 36	Appraising Teaching Effectiveness: Beyond Student Ratings, Hoyt and Pallett	
	Focusing On Active, Meaningful Learning, Stalheim-Smith	
	Student Ratings of Teaching: The Research Revisited, Cashin	
	Answering and Asking Questions, Cashin	
IDFA Paper No. 30		
IDEA Paper No. 29		
IDEA Paper No. 28		
IDEA Paper No. 26	Improving Student Reading, Maleki and Heerman	
	download. Please contact The IDEA Center to request a hard copy.	
IDEA Paper No. 25	IDEA Paper 25, Improving Student	
Writing by David Smit has bee	en updated. Please see IDEA Paper 48, Strategies to Improve Student Writing.	
IDEA Papar No. 24	Improving Instructors' Speaking Skills, Goulden	
IDEA Paper No. 22	Student Ratings of Teaching: Recommendations for Use, Cashin	
IDEA Paper No. 22		
IDEA Paper No. 20	Student Ratings of Teaching: A Summary of the Research, Cashin Improving College Grading, Hanna and Cashin	
IDEA Paper No. 17		
	Improving Multiple-Choice Tests, Clegg and Cashin	
	A Paper 15, Improving Discussions by Bill Cashin and Philip McKnight has been updated. Please see IDEA Paper 49,	
Effective Classroom Discussion		
IDEA Paper No. 14	IDEA Paper 14, Improving Lectures by Bill Cashin has been updated. Please see IDEA Paper 46, Effective Lecturing.	
IDEA Paper No. 12	Court Challenges to Tenure, Promotion, and Retention Decisions, Seldin	
	Questioning in the College Classroom, Hyman	
	download. Please contact The IDEA Center to request a hard copy.	
	A Guide to Clinical Permormance Testing, Whitman	
IDEA Paper No. 4	Classroom Observation Techniques, Acheson	
This paper is not available for download. Please contact The IDEA Center to request a hard copy.		
	Improving Academic Advising, Grites	
IDEA Paper No. 1		