

1. Parallel I: Orientation & History (Rose/Turner)
 - Venue made it difficult to hear.
 - Space was not the best for this session
 - Excellent job by Craig and Mike
 - Very difficult to hear, History disjointed & two speakers overlapped time frame, would have preferred more active learning method.
 - Hard to hear speakers
 - Definitely helped introduce new senators and University Senate
2. Parallel I: Participation and Attendance (Baker/Kleine)
 - Enjoyed brainstorming
 - This session was really useful
 - Good group discussions
 - We should spend more time addressing these issues and the questions posed to the group. This should be passed to all members.
3. Parallel II: Parliamentary Procedures (Farr)
 - Great as always.
 - Ken's efforts were great!
 - It was good to discuss situations in which less used motions might be useful.
 - Somewhat redundant
 - Great example
4. Parallel II: Parliamentary Procedures (Muschell)
 - David's "Punch motion" was a great way to illustrate the lessons.
 - Very effective. David was great.
 - Very well-planned and simple
 - Very fun
 - Great! Very effective! Good "hands on" example!
5. Issue-framing Activity and Discussion [Exam Schedule] (Clark)
 - Exam Schedule?
 - Ours was an angry group, but I'm glad group 2 excelled.
 - Good use of time; discussing the options for a new exam schedule.
 - ?Did we do this? Perhaps just cut this part altogether
 - Would have liked more time
 - Good discussion topics and facilitators.
6. Lunch: Getting to Know Your Fellow Senators (Flory)
 - Super!
 - I'm not a big fan of these
 - Friendly participants!
 - I'm not sure of anything better, but very few people participated.
 - Insufficient time.
7. Standing Committee Synthesis (Kleine)
 - It was good to meet and pre-plan
 - Nice meeting everyone, but probably could have waited to first official meeting
 - Well done, quick & to the point.
 - I'm glad to hear what will be on the table in the coming year.

- Good amount of time devoted to this (short & sweet)
- More goat setting
- Got a lot accomplished

8. Other Comments

- Different venue would have been helpful. Too much noise where groups met from other groups.
- I just have to clarify that I'm not a senator, so that skews my answers. As a committee member I was told to attend but the sessions weren't relevant, particularly to those of us who serve on committees but aren't senators (Rater 5)
- Can you shorten it?
- Retreat should start later for returning senators. Session I more relevant for new senators.
- Overall, I thought today was very organized
- Last year we told you that lunch was BAD – especially the vegetarian option & you said you'd improve it for this year. But this year's veg. option was exactly the same – and equally BAD. Please try to improve this for next year. Otherwise a fairly good (thorough long) day. It seemed esp. long coming after a FULL day of meetings yesterday [Assessment Day].