COMMITTEE NAME: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) **MEETING DATE & TIME:** 26 OCT 2012; 2:00–3:15 **MEETING LOCATION:** PARKS ADMINISTRATION BUILDING, ROOM 301

ATTENDANCE:

Мем	MEMBERS "P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets				
Р	Janet Clark (CoAS, ECUS Chair Emeritus)	Clark (CoAS, ECUS Chair Emeritus) P Deborah MacMillan (CoHS)			
Р	Steve Dorman (University President)	Р	Lyndall Muschell (CoE, ECUS Vice-Chair)		
Р	Joshua Kitchens (Library)	Р	Craig Turner (CoAS, ECUS Secretary)		
Р	Matthew Liao-Troth (Interim Provost)	-Troth (Interim Provost) P Catherine Whelan (CoB, ECUS Chair)			
GUESTS: Matthew Williams (Graduate Assistant of the 2012-2013 University Senate)					
Italicized text denotes information from a previous meeting. *Denotes new discussion on old business.					

AGENDA TOPIC	DISCUSSIONS & CONCLUSIONS	ACTION OR RECOMMENDATIONS	FOLLOW-UP {including dates/responsible person, status (pending, ongoing, completed)}
I. Call to order	The meeting was called to order at 2:03 pm by Catherine Whelan (Chair).		
II. Approval of Agenda	A motion to approve the agenda was made and seconded.	The agenda was approved as circulated.	
III. Approval of Minutes	A motion to consider the 5 Oct 2012 ECUS- SCC minutes was made and seconded. These minutes had been circulated to the meeting attendees via email with no revisions offered, Thus, the minutes had been posted as	The 5 Oct 2012 ECUS-SCC minutes were approved as presently posted, so no additional action was required.	

	circulated to the minutes.gcsu.edu site.
IV. Reports	
President's Report	President Dorman had no items to report
-	beyond the detailed and comprehensive
Steve Dorman	update he had provided at the 19 Oct 2012
	meeting of the University Senate. President
	Dorman did invite questions and none were
	forthcoming. In short, no President's Report.
Provost's Report	1. <u>NCATE</u>
	a. National Council for Accreditation of
Matthew Liao-Troth	Teacher Education (NCATE) site
	reaffirmation visit for the John H.
	Lounsbury College of Education had
	occurred on 22-23 Oct 2012 and Dr.
	Liao-Troth deferred the reporting of
	the details to Lyndall Muschell.
	b. Lyndall Muschell indicated that the
	preliminary findings of the NCATE
	review team were overall positive. In
	addition, the items against which the
	college should continue to develop
	that were identified by the NCATE
	review team had been anticipated by
	the college and came as no surprise.
	Among these items was diversity of
	students and faculty.
	2. <u>Common Meeting Time</u>
	a. Interim Provost Liao-Troth is
	codifying procedures for the
	implementation of the common
	meeting time and class scheduling.
	He invited input from the other
	members of the committee on one
	aspect: the transparency of the

	 justifications for exceptions to scheduling classes "on the grid," A brief conversation ensued to clarify the details of this request. b. Upon completion of drafting these procedures, Interim Provost Liao- Troth intends to circulate the draft to the members of the committee for review and will invite additional feedback at some future meeting of the Executive Committee. 		
Subcommittee on	28 Sep 2012	28 Sep 2012	28 Sep 2012
Nominations (SCoN)	1. <u>FAPC Vacancy</u> : Lyndall Muschell noted	1. FAPC Vacancy: Section	1. <u>FAPC Vacancy</u> :
	that the vacancy on the Faculty Affairs	II.1.B of the University Senate	Lyndall Muschell and
Lyndall Muschell	Policy Committee (FAPC) in the position	Bylaws requires that	Catherine Whelan will
	held by David Connolly, who was no	vacancies or resignations that	ensure that the SCoN
	longer working at Georgia College, had	occur during a term of service	motion text as well as
	been filled. As the library was the only	shall be filled for the	the relevant
	academic unit that did not have a	remainder of that term	supporting documents
	representative on FAPC, Lyndall had	following the process used in	are entered into the
	asked Josh Kitchens to seek a volunteer	the original election, selection	online motion
	from the corps of instruction library	or appointment. This	database in time for
	faculty. Beth Broyles would shift as a	requirement calls for a SCoN	consideration by the
	volunteer on the Student Affairs Policy	motion for consideration by	university senate at its
	<i>Committee (SAPC) to fill the vacancy as</i>	the university senate at its	19 Oct 2012 meeting.
	a volunteer on FAPC and Liz Thompson	next (19 Oct 2012) meeting	2. <u>Apportionment</u> :
	would fill the position on SAPC vacated	detailing the changes in	Catherine Whelan will
	by Beth Broyles. These modifications	memberships made to the	ensure that the
	maintained compliance with all bylaws	committee composition to fill	apportionment is
	requirements on the composition of the	the vacancy on FAPC.	announced to the
	university senate committees and were	2. <u>Apportionment</u> : Section	University Senate by 1
	effective with the 28 Sep 2012 committee	II.2.A.2 of the University	Oct 2012 to comply
	meetings.	Senate bylaws requires that	with the relevant
	2. <u>Apportionment</u> : Lyndall Muschell thanked Craig Turner for preparing the	the exact number of elected	bylaw.
	thanked Craig Turner for preparing the	faculty senator positions	3. <u>Contacting Deans</u> :
26 Oct 2012 ECUS Manting M	draft apportionment document for	apportioned to each academic	Dura 2 - (22

committee review. Craig Turner noted that the apportionment was unchanged from the previous year. The committee accepted the draft apportionment report to be the official apportionment of the elected faculty senator positions. 3. Contacting Deans: Lyndall Muschell noted that the next step in the elected faculty senator election process for 2012-2013 was for the designated members (to be determined at a future meeting) of the Executive Committee to meet with their respective deans to share information to inform elections of successors for elected faculty senators with terms of service ending in April 2013. Catherine Whelan noted that she could provide the deans a heads up at the academic leadership meeting that each should expect a visit from a member of the Executive Committee to provide details to inform election information for the designated ECUS members to share with their deans. 05 Oct 2012 1. 1. FAPC Vacancy: Lyndall Muschell repeated the report from the 28 Sep 2012 ECUS meeting noting that the vacancy or the Faculty Affairs Policy Committee (FAPC) in the position held by David Connolly, who was no longer working at Georgia College, had been filled. As the library was the only academic unit that	 unit shall be determined by the Executive Committee and announced to the University Senate by October 1. <u>Contacting Deans</u>: The committee agreed that Catherine Whelan should provide the heads up and that Lyndall Muschell and Craig Turner should draft an elected faculty senator election information document. <u>05 Oct 2012</u> <u>FAPC Vacancy</u>: As was noted at the 28 Sep 2012 meeting, Section II.1.B of the University Senate Bylaws requires that vacancies or resignations that occur during a term of service shall be filled for the remainder of that term following the process used in the original election, selection or appointment. This requirement calls for a SCoN motion for consideration by the university senate at its next (19 Oct 2012) meeting detailing the changes in memberships made to the committee composition to fill the vacancy on FAPC. <u>Letters to Deans</u>: Agreed a. Catherine Whelan will 	 Catherine Whelan will provide the deans a heads up at the next academic leadership meeting that each should expect a visit from a member of the Executive Committee to provide details to inform elected faculty senator elections. Lyndall Muschell and Craig Turner will draft an elected faculty senator election information document. Catherine Whelan will place this item on the agenda of a future ECUS or ECUS-SCC meeting so that designees can be named to meet with deans armed with the elected faculty senator information document. <u>PAPC Vacancy</u>: Lyndall Muschell and Catherine Whelan will ensure that the SCoN
--	---	--

did not have	a representative on FAPC,	make the change to the		motion text as well as
Lyndall had	asked Josh Kitchens to seek	email contact information		the relevant
a volunteer j	from the corps of instruction	in the letters / appendices		supporting documents
library facul	lty. Beth Broyles would shift	and share this information		are entered into the
as a volunte	er on the Student Affairs	with deans at the next		online motion
Policy Com	mittee (SAPC) to fill the	academic leadership		database in time for
	a volunteer on FAPC and Liz	meeting.		consideration by the
	yould fill the position on	Catherine Whelan will		university senate at its
1	ed by Beth Broyles. These	aggregate the election		19 Oct 2012 meeting.
	as maintained compliance	information from all the	2.	Apportionment:
	wws requirements on the	deans and circulate it to		Catherine Whelan did
	of the university senate	the committee.		email apportionment
-	and were effective with the 28			to the university senate
	mmittee meetings.			on 01 Oct 2012.
	eans: Lyndall Muschell had		3.	Contacting Deans:
	he drafts of elected faculty			• Catherine Whelan
	ers to deans with appendices			to update the email
	present prior to the meeting.			contact information
	were drafted collaboratively			ecus@list.gcsu.edu
•	Auschell and Craig Turner.			to senate@gcsu.edu
	al change was recommended			in elected faculty
	ients, specifically to update			election letters to
	ntact information from the			deans and distribute
	st (ecus@list.gcsu.edu) to an			these letters with
	ss (senate@gcsu.edu) that			the appendices A-E
	ived by only Presiding Officer			to deans at the next
	Thelan. This will reduce the			academic
	mail received by all members			leadership meeting.
	ittee and allow Catherine to			• Lyndall Muschell
	ne elected faculty senator			and Craig Turner
88 8	ormation from deans before			did prepare letters
-	t to the committee via email.			and the appendices
				for ECUS review
26 Oct 2012				including appendix
	helan provided an update to			C which houses the
	ee on this matter, indicating			elected faculty
	airculated the elected faculty			creered factury

 that she had circulated the elected faculty

 26 Oct 2012 ECUS Meeting Minutes (DRAFT for ECUS REVIEW)

senator information to the deans. Some of	senator information
the deans had provided elected faculty	document they were
senator information to Catherine already.	charged to draft.
2. CoE and CoHS had the elected faculty	4. Catherine Whelan did
senator topic on their tentative agendas	place this item on the
for their respective college meetings that	<i>05 Oct 2012 agenda.</i>
were scheduled for 3:30pm 26 Oct 2012.	5. Catherine Whelan will
3. Catherine Whelan indicated that she	aggregate the elected
would follow-up as necessary with the	faculty senator
deans and she reminded the committee	election information
that the deadline for the submission of	from deans and
election procedures and apportionment	circulate it to the
(designation of constituencies)	committee via email.
information was 1 Dec 2012.	
4. Craig Turner sought clarification on	<u>26 Oct 2012</u>
whether the deans were expecting to have	1. Catherine Whelan did
a follow-up meeting from the elected	update the email
faculty senator member of ECUS from	contact information
their respective academic unit. Catherine	ecus@list.gcsu.edu to
Whelan indicated that when she had	senate@gcsu.edu in
spoken to the deans, she told them that	elected faculty election
she would act on the behalf of the	letters to deans and
Executive Committee on this matter.	distributed these letters
Catherine noted that she might call on an	with the appendices A-
ECUS member to follow-up with their	E to deans at one of
respective dean in the future but did not	the recent academic
anticipate that need at this time.	leadership meetings.
anticipate that need at tins time.	2. Catherine Whelan is
	aggregating the elected
	faculty senator
	election information as
	she receives it from
	deans and will
	circulate the aggregate
	information to the
	committee once it is
	complete.

Governance Retreat	28 Sep 2012	28 Sep 2012	<u>28 Sep 2012</u>
Planning Committee	Catherine Whelan circulated a DRAFT	It was noted that other members	1. Catherine Whelan will
8	report for the 2011-2012 Governance	of the 2011-2012 Governance	send the draft report of
Catherine Whelan	Retreat Planning Committee and invited	Retreat Planning Committee	to the other members of
	ECUS members to read the draft over the	should be given the opportunity	the retreat planning
	coming calendar week and offer feedback by	to provide feedback on the report.	committee, Dean Baker
	email, particularly on the recommendations		and Monica Starley,
	section. She noted in particular that one of		inviting their feedback.
	the recommendations in the report was that		2. Catherine Whelan will
	the 2012-2013 governance retreat planning		receive and incorporate
	committee (this group plans the 2013 retreat)		feedback into the draft
	be identified no later than the end of the fall		report.
	2012 semester.		3. ECUS will ensure that the 2012-2013
	<u>05 Oct 2012</u>		governance retreat
	Catherine Whelan had circulated this report		planning committee is
	as a supporting document with the tentative		formed no later than
	agenda prior to this meeting. No additional		the end of the fall 2012
	editorial suggestions were offered at this		semester.
	meeting. It was acknowledged that ECUS		
	(not only Catherine) has the responsibility to		<u>05 Oct 2012</u>
	ensure that the 2012-2013 governance		1. Catherine Whelan did
	retreat planning committee is formed by the		circulate the report to
	end fall 2012 semester. Catherine Whelan		other members of the
	indicated her intent to share this report as		retreat planning
	information with university senators at their		committee inviting
	19 Oct 2012 meeting.		their feedback.
			2. Catherine Whelan did
			receive and
			incorporate feedback
			into the draft report.
			3. Catherine Whelan will
			provide this report as
			an information item to
			the university senate at
			its 19 Oct 2012
			meeting.

V. Information Items Actions/Recommendations			provide this report as an information item to the university senate at its 19 Oct 2012 meeting.
	There were no information items on the agenda of the 26 Oct 2012 ECUS meeting.		
VI. Unfinished Business Review of Action & Recommendations, Provide updates (if any) to Follow-up	<u> </u>		
Senate Meetings	28 Sep 2012: The exploration of feasibility and desirability of making the viewing of a live broadcast of meetings of the University Senate available to the university community and requiring authentication (with the existing CAS ID and password if possible) to view these meetings was proposed for consideration. 05 Oct 2012: Those present were provided a brief synopsis of the issue (see above). Catherine Whelan indicated she would continue to gather more information to facilitate future deliberation on this matter and invited all present to "have a think" on this matter prior to the next ECUS-SCC meeting.	 <u>28 Sep 2012</u>: There was general agreement that implementation of this broadcasting should be contingent upon approval by the University Senate. more details regarding implementation feasibility were necessary before this proposal would be ready for possible presentation to the University Senate. and that designated members of the Executive Committee should seek this information 	 <u>28 Sep 2012</u>: Catherine Whelan will consult with university television personnel to inform deliberation. Josh Kitchens will consult with IDEAS personnel to inform this deliberation. Catherine Whelan will ensure that this topic is placed on the tentative agenda of the 5 Oct 2012 ECUS-SCC meeting. <u>05 Oct 2012</u> Catherine Whelan will

 Josh Kitchens had consulted with Wesley Smith of IDEAS and Big Blue Button was identified as one possible tool to implement broadcasting meetings. Debby MacMillan shared her experience with Collaborate noting its features and in particular its automation in archiving the recording of a meeting. It was noted that there was a fee for the license for Collaborate and the present licensing agreement expired at the conclusion of the academic year. In contrast, there was no cost in using the Big Blue Button software at this time. There was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (Big Blue Button) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like Collaborate, with associated costs including licensing. The issue of remote participation was identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and attendance by
 was identified as one possible tool to implement broadcasting meetings. Debby MacMillan shared her experience with <i>Collaborate</i> noting its features and in particular its automation in archiving the recording of a meeting. It was noted that there was a fee for the license for <i>Collaborate</i> and the present licensing agreement expired at the conclusion of the academic year. In contrast, there was no cost in using the <i>Big Blue Button</i> software at this time. There was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like <i>Collaborate</i>, with associated costs including licensing. The issue of remote participation was identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by
 implement broadcasting meetings. Debby MacMillan shared her experience with <i>Collaborate</i> noting its features and in particular its automation in archiving the recording of a meeting. It was noted that there was a fee for the license for <i>Collaborate</i> and the present licensing agreement expired at the conclusion of the academic year. In contrast, there was no cost in using the <i>Big Blue Button</i> software at this time. There was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before considering the tools for broadcasting. [Ike <i>Collaborate</i>, with associated costs including licensing. The issue of remote participation was identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and attendance by at the 5 Oct 2012 ECUS-SCC meeting. Josh Kitchens was asked to follow-up with appropriate IDEAS personnel on the details of using <i>Big Blue Button</i> software at this time. There was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before considering the tools for broadcasting. Ike <i>Collaborate</i>, with associated costs including licensing. The issue of remote participation was identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and attendance by The issue of remote participation and attendance by
 2. Debby MacMillan shared her experience with <i>Collaborate</i> noting its features and in particular its automation in archiving the recording of a meeting. 3. It was noted that there was a fee for the licensing agreement expired at the conclusion of the academic year. In contrast, there was no cost in using the <i>Big Blue Button</i> software at this time. There was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like <i>Collaborate</i>, with associated costs including licensing. 4. The issue of remote participation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by 5. Debby MacMillan shared her experience with <i>Collaborate</i> tool 5. It was noted that there was a fee for the licensing agreement expired at the conclusion of the academic year. In contrast, there was no cost in using the <i>Big Blue Button</i> software at this time. There was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before considering the tools for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by 6. Det 2012 1. Josh Kitchens was asked to follow-up with appropriate IDEAS personnel to inform this deliberation. 2. Josh Kitchens did consult with IDEAS personnel to inform this deliberation. 3. Catherine Whelan did place this topic on the
 with <i>Collaborate</i> noting its features and in particular its automation in archiving the recording of a meeting. It was noted that there was a fee for the licensing agreement expired at the conclusion of the academic year. In contrast, there was no cost in using the <i>Big Blue Button</i> software at this time. There was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like <i>Collaborate</i>, with associated costs including licensing. The issue of remote participation was identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by Mith <i>Collaborate</i> and the present to the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) soft a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by Matter <i>Collaborate</i> and attendance by Mith <i>Collaborate</i> and the present to the term of the continuing deliberation and attendance by Mith <i>Collaborate</i> and the present to the term of the continuing deliberation and attendance by Mith <i>Collaborate</i> and the present to the term of the
 in particular its automation in archiving the recording of a meeting. It was noted that there was a fee for the license for <i>Collaborate</i> and the present licensing agreement expired at the conclusion of the academic year. In contrast, there was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like <i>Collaborate</i>, with associated costs including licensing. The issue of remote participation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by 26 Oct 2012 Josh Kitchens was asked to follow-up with appropriate IDEAS personnel on the details of using <i>Big Blue Button</i> as a broadcasting tool. Among the details might be remote participation, microphone logistics, and the automatic archiving that was observed in the use of the <i>Collaborate</i>, with associated costs including licensing. The issue of remote participation and attendance by Catherine Whelan will ensure that this topic is placed on the tentative agenda of a future ECUS or <i>ECUS-SCC meeting</i>. Catherine Whelan will ensure that this topic is placed on the details of using <i>Big Blue Button</i> as a broadcasting tool. Among the details might be remote participation and attendance by Contrast, there was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before consideration in the continuing deliberation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by
 the recording of a meeting. 3. It was noted that there was a fee for the license for <i>Collaborate</i> and the present licensing agreement expired at the conclusion of the academic year. In contrast, there was no cost in using the <i>Big Blue Button</i> software at this time. There was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like <i>Collaborate</i>, with associated costs including licensing. 4. The issue of remote participation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by 1. Josh Kitchens was asked to follow-up with appropriate IDEAS personnel on the details of using <i>Big Blue Button</i> as a broadcasting tool. Among the details might be remote participation, microphone logistics, and the automatic archiving that was observed in the use of the <i>Collaborate</i> tool 2. Josh Kitchens did consult with IDEAS personnel to inform this deliberation. 3. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 3. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 3. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 4. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 4. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 4. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 5. Catherine Whelan did place this topic on the
 3. It was noted that there was a fee for the license for <i>Collaborate</i> and the present licensing agreement expired at the conclusion of the academic year. In contrast, there was no cost in using the <i>Big Blue Button</i> software at this time. There was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like <i>Collaborate</i>, with associated costs including licensing. 4. The issue of remote participation was identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by 5. It was noted that there was a fee for the license for <i>Collaborate</i> and the present licensing agreement expired at the continuing deliberation and attendance by 6. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 6. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 6. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and attendance by 7. The issue of remote participation and
 license for <i>Collaborate</i> and the present licensing agreement expired at the conclusion of the academic year. In contrast, there was no cost in using the <i>Big Blue Button</i> software at this time. There was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like <i>Collaborate</i>, with associated costs including licensing. The issue of remote participation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by
 licensing agreement expired at the conclusion of the academic year. In contrast, there was no cost in using the <i>Big Blue Button</i> software at this time. There was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like <i>Collaborate</i>, with associated costs including licensing. The issue of remote participation was identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by
 conclusion of the academic year. In contrast, there was no cost in using the <i>Big Blue Button</i> software at this time. There was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like <i>Collaborate</i>, with associated costs including licensing. The issue of remote participation was identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by
 contrast, there was no cost in using the <i>Big Blue Button</i> software at this time. There was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like <i>Collaborate</i>, with associated costs including licensing. 4. The issue of remote participation was identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by Among the details might be remote participation, microphone logistics, and the automatic archiving that was observed in the use of the <i>Collaborate</i> tool 2. Josh Kitchens did consult with IDEAS personnel to inform this deliberation. 3. Catherine Whelan did place this topic on the
Big Blue Button software at this time. There was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (Big Blue Button) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like Collaborate, with associated costs including licensing.remote participation, microphone logistics, and the automatic archiving that was observed in the use of the Collaborate tool26 Oct 20121. Catherine Whelan did consult with university television personnel to inform deliberation.2. Josh Kitchens did consult with IDEAS personnel to inform this deliberation.3. Catherine Whelan did place this topic on the
Big Blue Button software at this time. There was general agreement to explore the feasibility of the free option (Big Blue Button) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like Collaborate, with associated costs including licensing.remote participation, microphone logistics, and the
 the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like <i>Collaborate</i>, with associated costs including licensing. 4. The issue of remote participation was identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by automatic archiving that was observed in the use of the <i>Collaborate</i> tool consult with university television personnel to inform deliberation. Josh Kitchens did consult with IDEAS personnel to inform this deliberation. Catherine Whelan did place this topic on the
 the feasibility of the free option (<i>Big Blue Button</i>) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like <i>Collaborate</i>, with associated costs including licensing. 4. The issue of remote participation was identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by automatic archiving that was observed in the use of the <i>Collaborate</i> tool automatic archiving that was observed in the use of the <i>Collaborate</i> tool Josh Kitchens did consult with IDEAS personnel to inform this deliberation. Catherine Whelan did place this topic on the
Button) before considering the tools for broadcasting, like Collaborate, with associated costs including licensing.observed in the use of the Collaborate tooltelevision personnel to inform deliberation.4. The issue of remote participation was identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance byobserved in the use of the Collaborate tool2. Josh Kitchens did consult with IDEAS personnel to inform this deliberation.3. Catherine Whelan did place this topic on the
broadcasting, like Collaborate, with associated costs including licensing.Collaborate toolinform deliberation.4. The issue of remote participation was identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance byCollaborate toolinform deliberation.3. Catherine Whelan did place this topic on the
 associated costs including licensing. 4. The issue of remote participation was identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by 2. Josh Kitchens did consult with IDEAS personnel to inform this deliberation. 3. Catherine Whelan did place this topic on the
4. The issue of remote participation was identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance byconsult with IDEAS personnel to inform this deliberation.3. Catherine Whelan did place this topic on the
identified as a facet for consideration in the continuing deliberation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance bypersonnel to inform this deliberation.3. Catherine Whelan did place this topic on the
the continuing deliberation and selection of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance bythis deliberation.3. Catherine Whelan did place this topic on the
of a tool for broadcasting. Pros and cons of remote participation and attendance by3. Catherine Whelan did place this topic on the
of remote participation and attendance by place this topic on the
university senators were briefly discussed tentative agenda of the
with no clear committee consensus for or 26 Oct 2012 ECUS
against remote participation. meeting.
5. After additional conversation, there was 4. Josh Kitchens will
general agreement to consider only audio continue to consult
in the broadcasting (and not video). From with IDEAS personnel
the experience of those in attendance, the for more information
collecting of audio at meetings was about <i>Big Blue Button</i>
typically accomplished by having a (remote participation,
microphone for the presenters and a microphone logistics,
second microphone for commentary and automatic archiving,
participation from the floor. etc.) to inform this
6. There was general agreement that more deliberation.

2. Counseling Services Referrals 28 Sep 2012 28 Sep 2012 With the recent move of counseling services from the main campus to vest campus, the referral of a student to counseling services by a faculy member is now a drive or shuttle office on the main campus or other means to facilitate convenience for these referrals is desirable. President Dorman indicated that he would represent this concern to his executive staff and seek a resolution of this matter. 28 Sep 2012 1. President Dorman will consult his executive staff to seek resolution of counseling referral concern. 05 Oct 2012 The email sent to faculty to indicate the current options available to faculty to refer a student to counseling services on the main campus or other means to facilitate convenience for these referrals is desirable. 05 Oct 2012 The email sent to faculty to refer as a satellite office of counseling services on the main campus or other means to facilitate convenience for these referrals is desirable. 05 Oct 2012 The email sent to faculty to refer as a satellite office of counseling services on the main campus or other means to facilitate convenience for these referrals is desirable. 05 Oct 2012 The email sent to faculty to refer a student to consideration of alternative options such as a satellite office of counseling services on the main campus or other means to facilitate convenience for these referrals is desirable. 05 Oct 2012 The main campus or other means to facilitate convenience for these referrals is desirable.		detailed information was needed on this matter before making a commitment to the implementation of the broadcasting.		5. Catherine Whelan will ensure that this item is placed on the tentative agenda of the 2 Nov 2012 ECUS- SCC meeting.
	8	With the recent move of counseling services from the main campus to west campus, the referral of a student to counseling services by a faculty member is now a drive or shuttle ride rather than a walk. Interest in a satellite office on the main campus or other means to facilitate convenience for these referrals is desirable. President Dorman indicated that he would represent this concern to his executive staff and seek a resolution of this matter.05 Oct 2012 The email sent to faculty to indicate the current options available to faculty to refer a student to counseling services was noted by those present. However, there was a desire that consideration of alternative options such as a satellite office of counseling services on the main campus or other means to facilitate	There was general agreement to await an update on this matter from President Dorman before further considering the steering of this matter to a university senate committee. <u>05 Oct 2012</u> There was general agreement by those present to steer this item to the Student Affairs Policy Committee (SAPC) for further	 President Dorman will consult his executive staff to seek resolution of counseling referral concern. Catherine Whelan will ensure that this topic is placed on the tentative agenda of a future ECUS or ECUS-SCC meeting. <u>05 Oct 2012</u> President Dorman did consult his executive staff and an email was circulated to all faculty detailing the existing options for referring students to counseling services. Catherine Whelan did place this item on the tentative agenda for the 05 Oct 2012 ECUS-SCC meeting. Catherine Whelan will steer this matter for

3	. Policies Procedures and Practices Manual (PPPM)	 <u>28 Sep 2012</u> Catherine Whelan indicated that she would be meeting with Mike Digby to further talk about the PPPM and would have more information to share after that meeting. <u>26 Oct 2012</u> 1. Catherine Whelan indicated that she had recently met with Dr. Mike Digby to discuss the next steps on the PPPM. 2. Mike Digby noted that he was charged to edit and not to rewrite. He considered this aspect when formulating the proposed next steps (in item 3 below). Some of the next steps correspond to edits (editorial 	26 Oct 2012 The PPPM Motion (26 Oct 2012) was approved.	 <u>28 Oct 2012</u> Catherine Whelan did steer this matter to SAPC for consideration. <u>28 Sep 2012</u> Catherine Whelan will ensure that this topic is placed on the tentative agenda of a future ECUS or ECUS-SCC meeting. <u>26 Oct 2012</u> Catherine Whelan will inform Mike Digby of PPPM Motion (26 Oct 2012) authorizing him to implement their jointly proposed next steps on the PPPM.
		 other university personnel or committees. 3. As the new software to manage the PPPM was now in place, Catherine Whelan and Mike Digby had agreed to propose the following as next steps: a. <u>Restructuring</u>: Modifying the existing section titles to support better indexing and to make the PPPM more user friendly with respect to the use of the find / search function to locate and identify existing policies, procedures, and practices. b. <u>Reformatting Policy Statements</u>: Reformat the existing university policies that are in the PPPM, as 		

	necessary, to align with the current
	standardized university policy format
	template. The current and reformatted
	versions will be referred to ECUS for
	steering to itself or other individuals
	and committees for review. The
	review in this case would be asking if
	the reformatting modifies the intent
	of the language that articulates the
	policy statement and its associated
	procedures (if such procedures exist).
	c. Identifying / Resolving Conflicts: In
	some cases (such as Student Opinion
	Surveys), there are multiple versions
	of a policy statement that contain
	conflicting information. In these
	cases, the multiple versions will be
	identified, collated, and referred to
	ECUS for steering to the appropriate
	individual or policy committee for
	review and conflict resolution.
	d. Authorizing Procedure / Practice:
	Some procedures and practices that
	are included in the PPPM are dated
	(inconsistent with perceived current
	procedure and practice) and some do
	not identify an individual (position)
	responsible and authorized for their
	maintenance. Such practices and
	procedures will be identified and
	referred to ECUS for steering to the
	appropriate individual or committee
	for review.
4	. <u>PPPM Motion (26 Oct 2012)</u> : A motion
	To acknowledge the excellent work on the
	PPPM by Dr. Mike Digby and authorize
	him to take the proposed next steps, to

	a. modify section titles to support better
	indexing and facilitate the search/find
	function of the PPPM
	b. reformat existing policy statements
	in the PPPM, as necessary, to align
	them with the current standardized
	university policy format template and
	refer the existing and reformatted
	versions to ECUS for steering to itself
	or other university personnel or
	committees to review the reformatted
	versions with respect to modification
	(if any) to the intent of the language
	articulating the policy statement or
	its associated procedure (if such
	procedures are present),
	c. to identify and collate conflicting
	versions of policies and refer these to
	ECUS for steering to the appropriate
	individual or policy committee for
	review and conflict resolution. and
	d. to identify the practices and
	procedures that are either
	inconsistent with the perceived
	current practice or procedure and/or
	for which there is no individual
	(position) responsible and authorized
	for their maintenance and refer these
	to ECUS for steering to appropriate
	individuals or committees for review
	was made and seconded.
5	Change Log: Catherine Whelan noted
5.	that Mike Digby is maintaining a Change
	Log for the PPPM that will document the
	editorial changes that he is making as
	well as those changes that he is proposing for consideration and review by others
	for consideration and review by others.

4.	Emergencies and	<u>28 Sep 2012</u>	<u>28 Sep 2012</u>	<u>28 Sep 2012</u>
	Communication	$\overline{A \text{ proposal } to \text{ explore } the \text{ feasibility of}}$	There was general agreement to	1. President Dorman to
	Channels in Classrooms	having communication channels available in	seek additional information on	provide updates on the
		classrooms was made. Such channels might	this matter including existing best	possible use and
		facilitate contact of information technology	practices.	feasibility of VOIP.
		assistance for projection systems or contact		2. Catherine Whelan will
		of public safety in an emergency. Josh		gather information to
		Kitchens noted that the Student Technology		inform deliberation.
		Fee Committee was considering an intercom		3. Catherine Whelan will
		system for classrooms in consultation with		ensure that this topic
		Chief Information Officer Bob Orr. Other		is on the tentative
		channel options were proposed including		agenda of a future
		Voice Over Internet Protocol (VOIP) or		ECUS or ECUS-SCC
		telephones. President Dorman indicated that		meeting.
		exploration of the feasibility of VOIP as a		
		possible replacement for existing phone		<u>05 Oct 2012</u>
		service as a cost-saving measure was in		1. President Dorman to
		progress. Catherine Whelan agreed to seek		provide updates on the
		more information on this concern for the next		possible use and
		meeting.		feasibility of VOIP.
				2. Catherine Whelan will
		<u>05 Oct 2012</u>		gather information to
		Those present were provided a brief synopsis		inform deliberation.
		of the issue (see above). Catherine Whelan		3. Catherine Whelan did
		indicated she would continue to gather more		place this on the 05
		information to facilitate future deliberation		Oct 2012 agenda and
		on this matter.		will ensure that this
				topic is on the
				tentative agenda of a
				future ECUS or
				ECUS-SCC meeting.
5.	Faculty Development	<u>28 Sep 2012</u>	<u>28 Sep 2012</u>	<u>28 Sep 2012</u>
	opportunities to assist	Students with disabilities are increasingly	There was general agreement to	1. Catherine Whelan will
	faculty in working with	common. Faculty training opportunities for	seek additional information on	gather information to
	Students with	dealing with the variety of disabilities, such	this matter including existing best	inform deliberation.
	Disabilities	as high functioning autism and Asperger's, is	practices.	2. Catherine Whelan will
		desirable. This may be particularly relevant		ensure that this topic Page 14 of 23

Page 14 of 23

		<i>if the disability could be disruptive to the classroom learning of other students.</i> <u>05 Oct 2012</u> <i>Those present were provided a brief synopsis of the issue (see above). Catherine Whelan indicated she would continue to gather more information to facilitate future deliberation on this matter.</i> <u>26 Oct 2012</u> Catherine Whelan indicated that she has been attempting to identify a time to consult with the appropriate Disability Services personnel and anticipates finding time in the week of Oct 29 – Nov 2 to make this consultation.		1.	ensure that this topic is on the tentative agenda of a future ECUS or ECUS-SCC meeting.
6.	University System of	<u>28 Sep 2012</u>	<u>28 Sep 2012</u>		<u>Sep 2012</u>
	Georgia Faculty Council	Given that this matter was extending the	There was general agreement	1.	Craig Turner will
	(USGFC) Representative	meeting beyond the usual adjournment time, there was agreement the conversation would	that Standing Committee Chairs should inform the conversation		prepare a summary document on this
		be brief. The current selection process of the	on this matter and be consulted at		matter to inform the
		USGFC representative was determined by	the 5 Oct 2012 ECUS-SCC		deliberation.
		Motion 1011.EC.001.R to be the selection of	meeting.	2.	Catherine Whelan will
		the Presiding Officer Elect of the University			ensure that this topic
		Senate. Concerns from the recent two			is on the tentative
		representatives, Jan Clark and Catherine			agenda for the 5 Oct
		Whelan, were that a term of service of a			2012 ECUS-SCC
		single year may be too brief a time to			meeting
		participate meaningfully on the USGFC.		05	Oct 2012
		Consideration of both a longer term of service than a single year as well as the		$\frac{03}{1}$	<u>Oct 2012</u> Craig Turner did
		addition of the nomination of one or more		1.	prepare a summary
		candidates for the USGFC Representative			document on USGFC.
		position to the work of the Subcommittee on		2.	
		Nominations were proposed for further			this item on the
		consideration. Craig Turner who served as			tentative agenda of the
		Co-Chair of the USGFC both prior to and			05 Oct 2012 ECUS-

Page 15 of 23

	device de terrer ef Certi i III 1 1	SCC
	during the terms of Catherine Whelan and	SCC meeting.
	Jan Clark was charged to prepare a	3. <i>Catherine Whelan to</i>
	summary document providing the relevant	ensure that this topic
	details for this USGFC Representative	is placed on the
	position.	tentative agenda of the
		26 Oct 2012 ECUS
	<u>05 Oct 2012</u>	meeting.
	Catherine Whelan provided an overview of	
	the main points in the USGFC fact sheet that	<u>26 Oct 2012</u>
	was prepared by Craig Turner and	1. Catherine Whelan did
	circulated by email prior to the meeting.	place this item on the
	Suggestions offered at the 28 Sep 2012	tentative agenda of the
	ECUS meeting (see above) were reiterated	26 Oct 2012 ECUS
	and there was no clear consensus for a	meeting.
	particular selection process. ECUS was	2. Catherine Whelan will
	entrusted to continue the deliberation on this	ensure that this topic is
	matter and again consult Standing	on the tentative agenda
	Committee Chairs at its discretion.	of a future ECUS or
		ECUS-SCC meeting.
	<u>26 Oct 2012</u>	
	Given that the 27 Oct 2012 USGFC meeting	
	will be the first one attended by Lyndall	
	Muschell, there was agreement to defer the	
	deliberation on this matter so that the	
	committee can benefit from Lyndall's	
	perspective after attending a meeting. Craig	
	Turner indicated that he would be attending	
	this meeting as a guest acknowledging that	
	Lyndall Muschell is the institutional voting	
	representative to the USGFC.	
7. University Senate Agenda	<u>05 Oct 2012</u>	<u>05 Oct 2012</u>
and Minutes Review	1. Based on the committee reports given	a) Catherine Whelan will
	earlier in the meeting, there will be two	draft the tentative
	motions (SCoN committee replacements,	agenda of the 19 Oct
	FAPC Faculty Status Language) on the	2012 meeting of the
	agenda of the 19 Oct 2012 meeting of the	University Senate.
	University Senate. In addition, committee	b) Motions to be entered
26 Oct 2012 ECUS Masting Min	nutes (DRAFT for ECUS REVIEW)	Page 16 of 23

Page 16 of 23

 and administrative reports will be agenda items. Given the light agenda, Catherine Whelan will again invite some Directors and Deans to attend the 19 Oct 2012 university senate meeting. The intent is to assist in the face to names association of these individuals by members of the University Senate. 2. A draft of the university senate minutes for the 21 Sep 2012 meeting was circulated to the attendees prior to the meeting. The draft minutes were approved as circulated for distribution to the university senators for review. 	into the online motion database by Leslie Moore (FAPC) and Catherine Whelan and Lyndall Muschell (SCoN). c) Craig Turner will distribute to the university senators the draft university senate minutes for the 21 Sep 2012 meeting providing the university senators an opportunity to review these minutes.
	 <u>26 Oct 2012</u> a) Catherine Whelan did draft the tentative agenda of the 19 Oct 2012 meeting of the University Senate. b) Motions were entered into the online motion database by Leslie Moore (FAPC) and Catherine Whelan and Lyndall Muschell (SCoN). c) Craig Turner did distribute the draft university senate
	minutes for the 21 Sep 2012 meeting to the university senators for their review.

8. Health Care Network	<u>24 Aug 2012</u>	<u>24 Aug 2012</u>	<u>24 Aug 2012</u>
	It was noted that the recent transition to a	There was agreement that this	Catherine Whelan to add
	new health care providers network has	matter should be brought to the	this to 7 Sep 2012 agenda
	resulted in a smaller pool of in-network	attention of President Dorman	
	heath care providers for GC employees.	and revisited at the 7 Sep 2012	<u>7 Sep 2012</u>
	Question: Who should take action and what	ECUS/SCC meeting. This would	a) Catherine Whelan
	action might be taken to affect change and	facilitate the timely steering of	did add this to the
	enlarge the pool of in-network providers?	this matter to a senate committee	7 Sep 2012
	One opinion was that the USG Presidents	in the event that action by the	agenda, however
	might be the most effective ambassadors for	University Senate be deemed	the consideration
	this concern.	necessary or desirable.	of this item was
			postponed to a
	<u>28 Sep 2012</u>		future meeting.
	As Dr. Dorman's service as University		b) Catherine Whelan
	President had begun 1 Sep 2012, he had not		to ensure this item
	been present at the 24 Aug 2012 ECUS		is added to the
	meeting. The aforementioned concern was		agenda of a future
	rearticulated at this meeting and President		ECUS meeting.
	Dorman agreed to represent this concern		
	with relevant individuals in consultation with		<u>28 Sep 2012</u>
	USG staff indicating that he has heard this		a) Catherine Whelan
	concern expressed a number of times by		did add this item to
	faculty and staff in the context of his listening		the 28 Sep 2012
	tour. In particular, President Dorman		ECUS agenda.
	mentioned the July 2012 hire of Marion		b) President Dorman
	Fedrick as USG Vice Chancellor for Human		will represent this
	Resources, noting that he planned to consult		concern in
	with her on this matter.		consultation with
			USG staff.
VII. New Business			
Actions/Recommendations			

Contingent Faculty	1. Craig Turner suggested that the topic of	1. Craig Turner to locate,
Participation in Shared	contingent faculty participation in shared	identify, and provide
Governance	governance receive consideration by the	information on the
	committee at some future meeting.	AAUP statements that
	2. Before consideration of acceptance of	relate to participation
	this suggestion, clarification points were	of contingent faculty
	sought and offered including:	in shared governance
	a. Who are the contingent faculty?	that might inform this
	The category of contingent faculty is	deliberation.
	used to refer to all non-tenure track	2. Catherine Whelan will
	faculty.	ensure that this topic is
	b. What is the current participation of	on the tentative agenda
	the contingent faculty in shared	of a future ECUS or
	governance? The current eligibility	ECUS-SCC meeting
	requirements for service as an elected	
	faculty senator are membership in the	
	corps of instruction and at least two	
	years at Georgia College at the start	
	of the term of service. Thus, some of	
	the existing university contingent	
	faculty are eligible to serve as elected	
	faculty senators, specifically those	
	holding appointments as lecturers,	
	senior lecturers and instructors.	
	c. Is there any external guidance on	
	best practice of such participation?	
	Yes, the American Association of	
	University Professors (AAUP) has	
	statements on contingent faculty	
	participation in shared governance	
	that could inform this deliberation.	
	3. An observation was made expressing	
	appreciation with both the current and	
	historical level of participation in the	
	university shared governance by those	
	holding the university president and	
	provost positions. In particular, there was	

	annuariation annuare 1 to 1 oth Into '		
	appreciation expressed to both Interim Provost Liao-Troth and President		
	Dorman for their participation and		
	commitment to shared governance.		
	4. There was general agreement to accept		
	the suggestion and to further discuss the		
	topic of contingent faculty participation		
	in shared governance at some future		
	meeting.		
Bobcat Merchandising:	A couple committee members observed that		
	there was no prominent presence of Bobcat		
	merchandising at the recent Deep Roots		
	event. There was general agreement by the		
	committee that such merchandising at Deep		
	Roots and other similar events was desirable		
	and related to the current efforts on branding		
	the university. President Dorman and Interim		
	Provost Liao-Troth each took a note to share		
	this perception in the conversations at		
	upcoming meetings with appropriate and		
	relevant university personnel.		
VIII. Next Meeting			
(Tentative Agenda, Calendar)			
1. Calendar	2 Nov 2012 @ 2pm ECUS/SCC Parks 301		
	16 Nov 2012 @ 2pm Univ. Senate A&S 2-72		
	30 Nov 2012 @ 2pm ECUS Parks 301		
2. Tentative Agenda	Some of the deliberation today generated		Catherine Whelan will
	tentative agenda items for future ECUS and		ensure that such items are
	ECUS-SCC meetings.		added to agendas of the
			appropriate ECUS and/or
			ECUS-SCC meetings.
IX. Adjournment	As there was no further business to consider,	The motion to adjourn was	
	a motion to adjourn was made and seconded.	approved and the meeting	
		adjourned at 2:57 pm.	

Distribution:

First; To Committee Membership for Review

Second: Posted to the Minutes Website

Approved by:_____ Committee Chairperson (Including this Approval by chair at committee discretion)

COMMITTEE NAME: EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE OF THE UNIVERSITY SENATE (ECUS) **COMMITTEE OFFICERS:** CATHERINE WHELAN (CHAIR), LYNDALL MUSCHELL (VICE-CHAIR) CRAIG TURNER (SECRETARY) **ACADEMIC YEAR:** 2011-2012

AGGREGATE MEMBER ATTENDANCE AT COMMITTEE MEETINGS FOR THE ACADEMIC YEAR: "P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets, "N/A" denotes Not Applicable (not on committee)

Acronyms EFS = Elected Faculty Se CoAS = College of Arts		CoB = Colleg	e of Busine	ss; CoE = Co	llege of Edu	cation; CoHS	= College o	f Health Scie	ences
Meeting Dates	08-24-12	09-28-12	10-26-12						
Janet Clark EFS; CoAS; ECUS Chair Emeritus	Р	Р	Р						
Steve Dorman University President	N/A	Р	Р						
Paul Jones Interim University President	R	N/A	N/A						
Joshua Kitchens EFS; Library	Р	Р	Р						
Matthew Liao-Troth Interim Provost	Р	R	Р						
Deborah MacMillan EFS; CoHS	Р	Р	Р						
Lyndall Muschell EFS; CoE; ECUS Vice-Chair	Р	Р	Р						
Craig Turner EFS; CoAS; ECUS Secretary	Р	Р	Р						
Catherine Whelan EFS; CoB; ECUS Chair	R	Р	Р						

Acronyms EFS = Elected Faculty S CoAS = College of Arts	Senator; & & Sciences, (CoB = Colleg	e of Busine	ss; CoE = Co	ollege of Edu	cation; CoHS	= College o	f Health Scie	ences
Meeting Dates	09-07-12	10-05-12	Date3						
Janet Clark EFS; CoAS; ECUS Chair Emeritus	Р	R							
Steve Dorman University President	Р	R							
Joshua Kitchens EFS; Library	Р	Р							
Matthew Liao-Troth Interim Provost	Р	Р							
Deborah MacMillan EFS; CoHS	Р	Р							
Lyndall Muschell EFS; CoE; ECUS Vice-Chair	Р	Р							
Craig Turner EFS; CoAS; ECUS Secretary	Р	Р							
Catherine Whelan EFS; CoB; ECUS Chair	Р	Р							
Bryan Marshall EFS; CoB; APC Chair	R	R							
Susan Steele EFS; CoHS; CAPC Chair	Р	Р							
Leslie Moore EFS; CoHS; FAPC Chair	Р	Р							
Maureen Horgan EFS; CoAS, RPIPC Chair	Р	Р							
Dianne Chamblee EFS; CoHS, SAPC Chair	Р	Р							

AGGREGATE ATTENDANCE RECORD FOR MEETINGS OF THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE AND STANDING COMMITTEE CHAIRS "P" denotes Present, "A" denotes Absent, "R" denotes Regrets