

1. Dinner / Governance History

- The food was excellent. “Stump Craig” was a lot of fun.
- Good way to complete boring topic
- Fun – should definitely repeat “Stump Craig”
- Enjoyed the social hour before dinner. Good to have the President and the Provost present.
- Dinner 5, presentation 3, funny but an actual short synopsis would have been good for us newbies. 4 opportunity to grill the interim President.
- Good motivation to read document.
- Loved the “Stump Craig” game. Jan Clark should be in charge of the retreat “fun” every year. Great food.
- No real vegetarian option.
- Format was highly effective.
- Instructive.
- I liked it – especially “Stump Craig”
- A summary of the evolving concept/function of the senate – this is covered in the form, but some memories of how things used to function, how they are better now would be quite interesting.
- Good food – very good “Stump Craig”
- Food was a major improvement.
- The “Stump Craig” actually got me to read and pick out details of the history. It was the first time I paid attention to history – engaged learning.
- Game was fun – “Stump Craig” – enjoyed the creative way to learn history. President’s address was informational.
- Loved the “Stump Craig” format. Good food – happy with no host bar.

2. Orientation: Structure & Purpose

- Enjoyed this year’s orientation
- A lot of info quickly, nice to have the handout for later review.
- Worth a few extra minutes.
- Good doc.
- Good.
- Had trouble following organization.
- All new – loved hearing more. (smiley face)

3. Shared Governance Breakout

- Good reminder of why we are here
- I liked how we broke up into smaller groups
- Ineffective due to members of group – closed-minded
- Good meeting
- Great discussion in my group.
- Breakout sessions need a little more structure to avoid general “vent” sessions.
- Good discussion.
- Wonderful.
- Needed appointed [discussion] leader.

- Reasonable.
- More conversations on this are needed.
- The Provost had vote of no confidence when writing that column (Chronicle of Higher Ed article).

4. Committee Breakout Session

- Good pre-planning meeting
- Good meetings
- I will let my committee members evaluate my performance, but I was pleased with the results.
- Breakout sessions need a little more structure to avoid general “vent” sessions.
- Ok, but my committee didn’t meet.
- Good.
- Seeing some examples of proposals from the previous year would be helpful.
- Much better than last year.
- These were really helpful.
- Would like more emphasis in full group on the tasks.
- Very confusing, unstructured.
- Very helpful.

5. Complete Georgia College

- Excellent – I enjoyed the retreat away from the college and for those who came an excellent bonding experience.
- I had not done the reading, a little more direction would have been helpful, we got a little side-tracked but still came up with suggestions. Matthew Liao-Troth’s visit was very helpful.
- Interesting but unexpected task.
- Weird.
- Not sure what we were accomplishing in that discussion.
- Time constraints limited this – interesting project though.
- Much better than last year.
- Don’t think we really were prepared for this.
- Large task for short time.
- Interesting.

6. Lunch

- Excellent food
- Nice!
- Great food.
- Great food.
- Fine.
- More healthy options.
- Salty.
- Good food – pecan pie (smiley face)

7. Mock University Senate Meeting

- Good idea!

- Very informational.
- Very helpful. Great to see that we have a sense of humor.
- Good walk-through.
- Helpful.
- Fine.
- USEFUL! Not really “mock”
- Very informative.
- Fun.

8. Overall Effectiveness of the Retreat

- See front comment (Guessing they referred to: Excellent – I enjoyed the retreat away from the college and for those who came an excellent bonding experience.)
- Send us the info earlier – maybe some of us would have done more homework. The handouts/materials were helpful.
- Not a big fan of the off-campus approach, but it worked.
- Good.
- Catherine Whelan – awesome. Craig – awesome. First breakout session awesome!
- Thanks for the commitment to the senate members and university as a whole. Site was disappointing – mole in room.
- Very good use of time.
- I very much like the small group interaction as a new senator, I am still a bit confused about some of my responsibilities / logistics. I am sure I will pick it up. Generally, I'd like to see us find more time for important discussions about our goals as an institution and visioning for the senate. The retreat seems a good time.
- Best one I've been to!
- Great.
- Nice opportunity to network and learn more about role.

9. Feedback Scheduling (Dates, Times) (Travel, Refreshments) Location (Site)

- Good retreat
- Overall the retreat went well. I would have it a week earlier to avoid overlap with other university events. Also I would have the retreat over a few days, that way we would/could enjoy the retreat location.
- Terrible dates! Last week would have been better / Difficult time to get away from campus. Location was nice to get away – but would have been better to be close to Milledgeville.
- Lunch was fine with me, but did hear several comments regarding vegetarian.
- Good timing.
- Scheduling good, Travel/refreshments good. Location/site great. Thanks for not making it the previous week – it was nice to have healthy food – location was beautiful – may want to consider closer location, but I would rather travel to a nice location than be on campus or in a less pleasant one. Location has an effect on mood.
- Nice.
- I can appreciate the intent of the off-site location – to help us build camaraderie – however faculty are very busy, especially this time of year. It is difficult to

arrange for our other obligations (both family and professional) – and because of this can actually cost us money personally to make arrangements. Plus, we missed our program/department assessment time.

- I wish we'd had more time to explore the gardens.
- Outstanding! Thanks for all the hard work!
- I wish it had been last week. This is the worst possible week to be gone for two days. Six hours of travel – four hours plus 25 minutes total meeting time (excluding lunch and breaks).
- Loved the bus availability and retreat location.
- It seems a long way to travel for a meeting, and not spend time at the site. Perhaps next year it could be at a site closer to Milledgeville. Indian Springs??
- Everything was great.
- Location too far and not a good value for the cost.
- Travel was nice. Maybe it could be a little closer?
- The location allowed senators to focus on the meeting itself rather than dealing with the issues on campus. Food – excellent!!
- Ok.
- I think it would be great to meet earlier in August (even Aug 1) for the retreat. Lots going on during this time – so close to classes starting.
- Great event.

Governance Retreat Aug 7th and 8th 2012 Ratings
Callaway Gardens Mountain Inn Conference Center

	Dinner	Orientation	Shared	Committee	Complete	Lunch	Mock	Overall																
	Governance	Structure &	Governance	Breakout	Georgia	Lunch	Senate	Effectiveness																
	History	Purpose	Breakout	Session	College	Lunch	Meeting	of Retreat																
1	4	4	5	5	5		4	4	<table border="1"> <tr> <th colspan="2">Rating Legend</th> </tr> <tr> <td>0</td> <td>Did not attend</td> </tr> <tr> <td>1</td> <td>Completely Ineffective</td> </tr> <tr> <td>2</td> <td>Ineffective</td> </tr> <tr> <td>3</td> <td>Somewhat Effective</td> </tr> <tr> <td>4</td> <td>Effective</td> </tr> <tr> <td>5</td> <td>Most Effective</td> </tr> </table>		Rating Legend		0	Did not attend	1	Completely Ineffective	2	Ineffective	3	Somewhat Effective	4	Effective	5	Most Effective
Rating Legend																								
0	Did not attend																							
1	Completely Ineffective																							
2	Ineffective																							
3	Somewhat Effective																							
4	Effective																							
5	Most Effective																							
2	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5																
3			2	5	3	4	4	3																
4	5	4	4	4	4	5	5	5																
5	5	5	4	4	4	4	5	5																
6	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5																
7	4	4	5	4	3	4	5	4.5																
8	5	4	3	4	3	4	4	5																
9	4	4	4	4	4	3	3	4																
10	4	4	4	4	3	4		4																
11	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	4																
12	3	4	4	2	3	4	4	4																
13	5	5	5	5	5	3	4	5																
14	4	5	5	4	3	4	5	4																
15	3	3	3	5	5	1	4	4																
16	5	5	5	5	3	5	5																	
17	3	4	5	4	4																			
18	0	3	3	4	3	2	4																	
19	5	3	4	5	5	4	5	5																
20	5	5	5	5	4	5	5	5																
21	4	5	5	5	5	4	5	5																
22	5	4	3	5	5	4	4																	
23	4	4	4	4	4	5	4	4																
24	4	2	4	4	1																			
25	4	4	4	5	5																			
26	4	3	4	2	3	4	5	4																
27	5	5	5	5	5	5	5	5																
28	5	5	5	4	5	4	4	5																
29	5	4	5	5	3.5	3	4	4																
30	5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5	4.5																
count	29	29	30	30	30	26	26	24	How many had ratings assigned?															
mean	4.28	4.19	4.28	4.38	4.00	4.02	4.48	4.46	Average Rating															
std dev	1.05	0.79	0.81	0.79	1.01	0.97	0.56	0.56	Std Dev Rating															
0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	Number of ratings of 0															
1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0	0	Number of ratings of 1															
2	0	1	1	2	0	1	0	0	Number of ratings of 2															
3	3	4	4	0	9	3	1	1	Number of ratings of 3															
4	10	12	10	12	6	12	11	10	Number of ratings of 4															
5	15	11	14	15	12	8	13	11	Number of ratings of 5															
Blank	1	1	0	0	0	4	4	6	Number of blanks															
Other	0	1	1	1	2	1	1	2	Number of others															